

POST-AWARD ANNUAL BASELINE EVALUATION REPORT

Special Appropriation Projects

Assistance Programs Branch

Water Quality Protection Division: Region 6

Recipient: Village of Ruidoso, NM	Type of Evaluation: On-Site Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> Off-site Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/>
Date of Evaluation: 12/16/08	Evaluation Location: <i>(If On-Site)</i>
EPA Project Officer: Nasim Jahan	Recipient Project Manager: S. Edens
Grant Number: XP97630701--2	Project Start Date: 2/7/2003 Project End Date:
AWARD INFORMATION	
EPA Share:	\$1,309,500
Recipient Share/Match:	\$1,071,409
Other:	
Total:	\$2,380,909
Award Date:	2/7/03 Amended on 9/5/03 and 10/5/04
SCOPE OF REVIEW: <i>(Key topics covered)</i> 1. Basic Project Information 2. National Environmental Policy Act 3. Plans and Specifications 4. Progress of the Project 5. Financial Status 6. Other Information	
Key Accomplishment: <i>(See Appendix 1)</i>	
Attendance: <i>(See Appendix 2)</i>	
Follow-up Action Items: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>(If yes, see Appendix 3)</i>	

PROJECT SUMMARY

1. Project Description.

Study ☐ Planning ☐ Design ☒ Construction ☐

Plan, design and construct a new wastewater treatment plant for Ruidoso and Ruidoso Downs, NM

2. Anticipated Environmental Benefits of Project.

The project will improve effluent quality to the point that the seasonal Rio Ruidoso River will maintain its pristine status. The project will allow the Village to be in compliance with the most current NPDES permit.

3. Amendments.

Yes ☒ No ☐

If yes, discuss the changes.

Amendment 1 of 9/5/03 changed project start date. Amendment 2 of 10/5/04 extends the project budget and period to 7/31/06

4. Payment Status.

Is Payment history consistent with progress to date?

Yes ☒ No ☐ No Payments Requested ☐ No Payments Made ☐

2 payments have been requested totaling \$ 156,917.49. Payments were made on time according to progress.

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT

1. Is the Grantee in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act ?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐ Process Not Yet Started ☐ In Process ☐

Discussion:

Finding of No Significant Impact received on Dec 21,2005.

2. Does the project meet requirements as set forth in the Part 6 for the environmental review?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Discussion:

3. Is the Grantee in compliance with the Archeological and Historic Preservation Act and the National Historic Preservation Act?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Discussion:

4. Is the Grantee in compliance with the Endangered Species Act?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Discussion:

5. Is the Grantee in compliance with the other applicable Federal Environmental cross-cutting laws and authorities?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Discussion:

PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

1. Is the Grantee in compliance with requirements as set forth in the Part 31.32, Equipment, Material and Supplies?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Discussion:

WWTP will be owned, maintained and operated by the Village of Ruidoso and City of Ruidoso Downs.

2. Do the Grantee's service and/or construction contracts meet requirements as set forth in the Part 31.36, Procurement?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Discussion:

Project was bid using applicable wage rates and awarded to the lowest bidder.

3. Is the Grantee in compliance with MBE/WBE requirements?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Discussion:

4. Does the project meet standard as required by the Part 7, Nondiscrimination in Programs, for the disability in the design and construction?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable ☒

Discussion:

5. Do the Grantee's service and/or construction contracts meet requirements as set forth in the Part 31.38, Indian Self Determination Act?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable - no contract awarded by Indian Tribe or Indian Intertribal Consortium ☒

Discussion:

Does not apply to this project

6. Is the Grantee in compliance with applicable requirements as set forth in the Part 32, Government wide Debarment and Suspension and Government wide Requirements for Drug-Free workplace?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Discussion:

7. Are the Plans and Specifications/Bid Documents complete?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐ Not Required ☐ Not Yet Received ☐

Discussion:

Plans and specs approved 6/20/08

PROGRESS OF THE PROJECT

1. Is the work under the agreement on schedule?

Yes ☐ No ☒

Discussion:

Since getting back on schedule the project is proceeding according to plan. Original confusion on project scope and direction caused significant delays in project commencement.

2. Is the actual work being performed within the scope of the recipient=s work plan?

Yes ☒ No ☐

Discussion:

3. Are the products/progress reports submitted on time as specified in grant award?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Discussion:

4. Has the recipient initiated construction?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable - no construction being preformed ☐

Discussion:

Construction began July 2008

FINANCIAL STATUS

1. Does the Grantee provide sufficient local share including in-kind contribution?

Yes ☒ No ☐

Discussion:

Only 55% of approved costs have been reimbursed by EPA funds. The remaining 45% is paid through City funds.

2. Is the Grantee in compliance with requirements as set forth in the Part 31.25 or Part 30.24, Program Income, as applicable?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable - no income anticipated ☒

Discussion:

3. Is the Grantee submitting payment requests in a timely manner?

Yes ☒ No ☐ No Payments Requested ☐

Discussion:

OTHER INFORMATION

1. Does the project meet requirement as set forth in the Part 29 concerning the single point contact?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Discussion:

Dan Higgins, City Manager, is the single point of contact

2. Is the Grantee in compliance with requirements as set forth in the Part 31.31, Real Property and the Uniform Relocation and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable - no property being acquired ☐

Discussion:

City owns the property on which project is being constructed.

3. Is the Grantee in compliance with applicable requirements as set forth in the Part 34, New Restrictions on Lobbying?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Discussion:

4. Is the Grantee in compliance with the Hotel and Motel Fire Safety Act of 1990 for all conference, meeting, convention or training space?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable ☒

Discussion:

Appendix 1

KEY ACCOMPLISHMENTS

1. Construction started July 2008 with completion scheduled February 2009.
2. Coordination with other EPA STAG grants (XP96657101 and XP96631701) to design and construct complete WWTP goes well with Ph IB scheduled to advertise February 2009.

